UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,669	02/27/2004	Norman Paul Jouppi	200315363-1	7567
	7590 07/13/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			OLSEN, LIN B	
	NS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com jessica.l.fusek@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/789,669	JOUPPI, NORMAN PAUL				
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LIN B. OLSEN	3661				
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Und</u>	der Fianl Rejectio	<u>on</u>			
(1) <u>LIN B. OLSEN</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Jim Sheritt</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 7 July 2009	Time: <u>2:25</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: Final Rejection of May 14, 2009						
Claims discussed:						
Prior art documents discussed: Japanese Patent Application 2003-052161 Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.						
/Thomas G. Black/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3661 (A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)			

Application No. 10/789,669

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Japanese Patent Application 2003-052161 is not eligible prior art, therefore rejections of 5/14/2009 and 10/3/2008 which cited it need to be reconsidered. Applicant Intends to submit After Final Amendment that removes substantive amendments to claims made due to this reference. Examiner indicated Amendment would be entered and prosecution would be reopened.